
Decision Session
Executive Member for Transport and
Planning

16 November 2017

Report of the Director of Economy and Place

Consideration of the objections received to the advertised proposal to amend the Traffic Regulation Order to include Residents' Priority Parking in the Micklegate Ward for:

- **South Bank Avenue**
- **St Aubyn's Place**
- **Bishopthorpe Road from Butcher Terrace to Reginald Grove**

Summary

1. To consider the formal objections received within the legal advertising period, for consideration of the Executive Member for Transport and Planning, to the proposed extension of three separate Residents' Priority Parking Schemes and request an agreement to take forward the recommended option for each area.

Recommendations

2. It is recommended that approval be given to implement the advertised proposals to amend the York Parking, Stopping and Waiting Traffic Regulation Order to extend three existing Residents Priority Parking Areas to include the following:
 - South Bank Avenue within R57C – Option 1
 - St Aubyn's Place within R59 – Option 1
 - Bishopthorpe Road area within R58C – Option 2Within this it is also recommended that approval be given to implement an amendment to the advertised proposal to extend R58C residents parking scheme as advertised excluding properties and the carriageway outside 230 – 272 Bishopthorpe Road (even numbered properties)

Reason: To progress the majority views of residents consulted and to take into account the second petition received to exclude part of Bishopthorpe Road.

Timescale: The order will be made and operational on street during February/March 2018.

Background

3. Petitions were received from South Bank Avenue, St Aubyn's Place and Beresford Terrace (Bishopthorpe Road area) these were subsequently reported to the Executive Member for Planning and Transport at a public Decision Session on 10th November 2016 with St Aubyn's Place petition being reported on 27th February 2017. The Executive Member requested we undertake formal consultations for each petition received.

South Bank Avenue

4. Permission was granted to consult with the whole of South Bank Avenue after the petition received represented half of the street from Bishopthorpe Road to Trafalgar Street.
5. On 22nd June 2017 the Executive Member considered the results of the consultation and approval was granted to advertise an amendment to the Traffic Regulation Order to introduce Residents Priority Parking, as an extension to the existing R57 Nunthorpe Grove scheme, to also be converted to community parking to allow local businesses to purchase permits for the area. The scheme number would be amended to R57C. The amended advertised scheme includes Nunthorpe Grove, 20 Southlands Road, South Bank Avenue and 147 – 153 Bishopthorpe Road. A copy of the legal advertised proposal is included in Annex A.
6. During the advertisement period 16 objections were received to the proposed scheme. 10 of which were from Nunthorpe Grove residents objecting to the existing scheme, which they reside within, being extended. 2 objections were from the upper part of South Bank Avenue residents, 1 from a business on South Bank Avenue and 3 general comments. A précis of all representations has been included in Annex C.

St Aubyn's Place

7. We received a petition in February 2017 requesting a Residents' Priority Parking for St Aubyn's Place. The petition initiated a full consultation with Residents. The Executive member considered the results of the consultation on 22nd June and approved a proposal to amend the Traffic Regulation Order to include a Residents' Priority Parking Scheme as an extension of the existing R59 scheme in Trentholme Drive.
8. Taking the preferences of Residents into account the scheme was advertised with operational times of 9am to 5pm, 7 days a week. A copy of the legal advertised proposal is included as Annex A and a copy of the proposed boundary of the extended R59 is included as Annex F.
9. We have received one objection to the advertised proposal and another representation with comments for our consideration. The objection relates to St Aubyn's Place becoming an extension of the existing R59 scheme. Both representations are detailed in Annex E.

Bishopthorpe Road from Butcher Terrace to Reginald Grove

10. After receiving a petition from residents of Beresford Terrace and Finsbury Avenue, along with several enquiries from residents in the surrounding area, permission was granted to consult with properties located along the east of Bishopthorpe Road from Butcher Terrace to Reginald Grove. This included all side streets along this section.
11. On 22nd June 2017 the Executive Member considered the results of the consultation and approval was granted to advertise an amendment to the Traffic Regulation Order to introduce Residents Priority Parking, as an extension to the existing R58C Aldreth Grove Scheme. A copy of the legal advertised proposal is included in Annex A.
12. As per all documentation and plans sent within the consultations with residents and agreed at the previous Executive Member Decision Session only properties residing on the east of Bishopthorpe Road are included within the proposed Scheme (properties 156 to 272 Bishopthorpe Road even numbers only).

13. The initial legal order (Annex A) did not make this clear, as such a subsequent order was made, shown in Annex B rectifying the omitted wording and clarifying to residents the original proposal. Residents residing on the West side between numbers 177 and 211 were also hand delivered letters outlining the amendment.
14. Subsequently a petition was received from residents located towards the south of the proposed scheme. This included properties on both side of Bishopthorpe Road between Beresford Terrace and Reginald Grove. The petition represented 27 out of 39 properties (69%) were against the introduction of Residents Parking on that section of Bishopthorpe Road, the petition also included 1 signature from Finsbury Avenue. A copy of the written objection received from the petition leader along with the petition header has been included in Annex H.
15. During the advertisement period 5 representations supporting the scheme were received. 7 objections to introducing residents parking on the section of Bishopthorpe Road between 230 – 274 were received and one general comment was submitted referring to residents parking along Bishopthorpe Road area as a whole due to the river walks and no where else to park if the proposed Residents Parking is introduced. A précis of all representations has been included in Annex G.

Options with Analysis

16. South Bank Avenue

17. Option 1: (recommended option)

Implement as advertised

This is the recommended option because:

This reflects the resident's views from the initial petition and formal consultation. Extending the existing R57 zone coincides with the council's aims of creating larger residents parking areas to provide increased parking availability in resident parking areas whilst removing non residential parking. Converting the existing scheme to R57C will allow permits to be utilised by local businesses residing within the zone boundary and are part of that community.

18. Option 2:

Uphold the objections and implement a separate scheme

This is not the recommended option because:

Although several residents on Nunthorpe Grove have objected to R57 being extended if a separate scheme is introduced for South Bank Avenue this does not give residents any opportunity for overflow parking availability when gully cleaning or resurfacing is taking place. With more streets around the Bishopthorpe Road area becoming residents only parking the availability for alternative unrestricted parking is reducing. There by limiting the availability for visitors or work trades attending properties within the proposed scheme. Introducing a separate scheme also goes against the council's aim of introducing larger zone boundaries to give residents a greater flexibility on parking. Also to implement a separate scheme for South Bank Avenue would delay the process by 3-6 months whilst we re-advertise another proposal.

19. Option 3:

Take no further action

This is not the recommended action because:

Residents have raised concerns about non residential parking in the area. Consultations have taken place with a majority in favour of implementing restrictions. If no action is taken this does not adequately meet the expectations of residents.

20. St Aubyn's Place

21. Option 1 (recommended option)

Implement as advertised

This is the recommended option because it reflects the council's aims of creating larger residents parking areas. It is not anticipated that vehicles from Trentholme Drive will use St Aubyn's Place for parking and vice versa on a regular basis. The majority of properties on both streets have sufficient off-street parking amenity to meet the parking requirements of the occupiers.

A joint scheme will give more parking flexibility for residents when it may be required, for e.g. if utility or highway works prevent or reduce parking availability on their own streets.

22. Option 2

Uphold the objections and implement a separate scheme

This is not the recommended action because:

To implement a separate scheme for St Aubyn's Place would delay the process by 3-6 months whilst we re-advertise another proposal. In addition, implementation would be further delayed whilst Parking Services obtain a new permit set for the new Resident Parking Area number.

23. Bishopthorpe Road from Butcher Terrace to Reginald Grove

24. Option 1

Implement as advertised

This is not the recommended option because:

This does not take into account the petition received during the advertisement period indicating that 69% of properties residing on Bishopthorpe Road between Beresford Terrace and Reginald Grove are against the proposal. That along with the only objections received from residents referred to this section of Bishopthorpe Road being residents parking, the majority vote is now against the restrictions for this part only.

25. Option 2 (recommended option)

Implement with an amendment to the advertised boundary, lesser restriction. With a view of including 230-272 Bishopthorpe Road within the same zone should a petition be received within 18months.

This is the recommended option because:

This recognises the views of residents. The majority of the consulted areas, excluding 230-274 Bishopthorpe Road, are in favour of implementing a resident's only parking scheme. As such the boundary should be amended to take into account comments received and uphold the expectations of all other residents within the proposed scheme. It is recommended to include number 274 Bishopthorpe Road as this property has a vehicle entrance onto Reginald Grove

26. **Option 3**

Carry out further consultations with part of Bishopthorpe Road

This is not the recommended option because:
Additional consultation would delay the implementation of the scheme. As no other objections have been raised by residents it is acceptable to take forward a reduced area and allow for the section of Bishopthorpe Road, between Beresford Terrace and Reginald Grove, to have a separate formal consultation and become part of the same scheme should they raise a petition showing support.

27. **Option 4**

Take no further action

This is not the recommended action because:
The majority of the consulted areas are in favour of introducing residents only parking. If no action is taken this does not adequately meet the expectations of residents.

28. **Council Plan**

Considering this matter contributes to the Council Plan building strong communities by engaging with all members of the local community.

29. **Implications**

Financial Residents parking schemes are self financing once in operation. The £5k allocated within the core transport budget will be used to progress the proposed residents parking schemes.

Human Resources (HR) There are no HR implications

Equalities There are no Equalities implications

Legal The proposals require amendments to the York Parking, Stopping and Waiting Traffic Regulation Order 2014: Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 & the Local Authorities Traffic Orders (procedure) (England & Wales) Regulations 1996 apply

Crime and Disorder There are no Crime and Disorder implications

Information Technology (IT) There are no IT implications

Property There are no Property implications

Other There are no other implications

Risk Management There is an acceptable level of risk associated with the recommended option

Contact Details

Author:	Chief Officer Responsible for the report:
Annemarie Howarth Traffic Projects Officer, Traffic Management Tel No. 01904 551337	Neil Ferris Corporate Director: Economy and Place
Report Approved	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Date 2/11/17

Wards Affected: Micklegate

All

For further information please contact the author of the report

Annexes

Annex A – formal advertised proposal

Annex B – formal amendment/clarification of the proposal for Bishopthorpe Road (part)

Annex C – précis of representations received to the South Bank Avenue proposal

Annex D – plan of the recommended residents parking area as an extension of R57C Nunthorpe Grove to include South Bank Avenue

Annex E – précis of representations received to the St Aubyn's Place proposal

Annex F – plan of the recommended residents parking area as an extension of R59 Trentholme Drive to include St Aubyn's Place

Annex G – précis of representation received to the Bishopthorpe Road extension proposal

Annex H – objection and petition header to the proposed extended scheme to include Bishopthorpe Road (part)

Annex I – plan of the advertised proposed residents parking area as an extension of R58C

Annex J – plan of the recommended extended R58C Residents parking area excluding 230 – 272 Bishopthorpe Road